IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

| ZACH HILLESHEIM, | |
|---------------------|--|
| Plaintiff, | 8:17CV239 |
| vs. | AMENDED ORDER SETTING FINAL SCHEDULE FOR PROGRESSION OF CASE |
| O. J.'S CAFE, INC., | |
| Defendant. | |

This matter came before the Court on the Unopposed Motion to Extend Progression Deadlines (<u>Filing No. 19</u>). After review of the motion, the Court finds it should be granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED: The Unopposed Motion to Extend Progression Order Deadlines (<u>Filing No. 19</u>) is granted, and the deadlines in the Order Setting Final Schedule for Progression of Case (<u>Filing No. 18</u>) are amended as follows:

1. **Motions to Dismiss and Motions for Summary Judgment.** Motions to Dismiss and/or Motions for summary judgment shall be filed not later than **June 29, 2018**. *See* NECivR 56.1 and NECivR 7.1.

2. **Discovery Deadlines:**

- a. **Deposition Deadline.** All depositions, whether or not they are intended to be used at trial, shall be completed by **May 30, 2018**.
- b. Written Discovery Deadline. All interrogatories, requests for admission and requests for production or inspection, whether or not they are intended to be used at trial, shall be completed by April 30, 2018. Counsel may stipulate to extensions of time to respond to discovery requests in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 29, but such extensions shall not extend any of the dates in this order; any request to extend the deadlines of this order shall be sought by motion.
- 3. **Disclosure of Expert Witnesses.** Each defendant, counter-defendant, and cross-defendant shall identify expert witnesses and shall serve expert reports by **April 16, 2018**. If

¹ A treating physician must be identified pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(A), but a treating physician is not deemed to be "retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony in the case" so as to require a written report under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B).

necessary to refute the disclosed opinions of an expert witness of an opponent, a plaintiff, counter-claimant, or cross-claimant may disclose additional expert witnesses not later than **April 30, 2018**, provided that the disclosing party then provides all of the information described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) and makes the expert witness available for deposition prior to the date set for completion of depositions. Supplementation of these disclosures, if originally made prior to these deadlines, shall be made on these deadlines as to any information for which supplementation is addressed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e). The testimony of the expert at trial shall be limited to the information disclosed in accordance with this paragraph.

- 4. **Pretrial Disclosures.** Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3), each party shall serve opposing counsel and file a redacted version as applicable with the following information regarding the evidence it may present at trial other than solely for impeachment purposes as soon as practicable but not later than the date specified:
 - a. Nonexpert Witnesses On or before November 16, 2018: The name, address and telephone number² of each witness, separately identifying those whom the party expects to present and those whom the party may call if the need arises.
 - b. **Deposition Testimony and Discovery** The designation of discovery testimony and discovery responses intended to be utilized at trial is not required at this time.
 - c. **Trial Exhibits On or before November 16, 2018:** A list of all exhibits it expects to offer by providing a numbered listing and permitting examination of such exhibits, designating on the list those exhibits it may offer only if the need arises.
 - d. Waiver of Objections. Any and all objections to the use of the witnesses, deposition testimony, discovery responses, or exhibits disclosed pursuant to the above subparagraphs, including any objection pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 32(a) that a deponent is available to testify at the trial, shall be made a part of the pretrial order. Failure to list objections (except those under Fed. R. Evid. 402 and 403) is a waiver of such objections, unless excused by the Court for good cause shown.

5. **Motions in Limine.**

a. Motions in limine challenging the admissibility of expert testimony at trial under Fed. R. Evid. 702, see Kumho Tire Co., Ltd. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137 (1999), and Daubert v. Merrell-Dow Pharmaceuticals, 509 U.S. 579

² In accordance with the E-Government Act, counsel shall, on witness lists, exhibits, and other disclosures and/or *documents filed with the Court*, redact Social Security numbers, home addresses, telephone numbers, and other personally identifying information of witnesses, but shall serve an unredacted version on opposing parties. *See* NECivR 5.3.

(1993), shall be filed by **June 29, 2018**, and accompanied by a request for a hearing if necessary. Failure to timely move for a hearing may constitute waiver of the request for a hearing.

- b. Any other motions in limine shall be filed on or before **November 21, 2018**.
- November 30, 2018, at 11:00 a.m., in my chambers, 111 South 18th Plaza, Suite 2210, Roman L. Hruska United States Courthouse, Omaha, Nebraska. The final pretrial conference shall be attended by lead counsel for represented parties. Prior to the pretrial conference, counsel shall complete all items as directed in NECivR 16.2. By the time of the pretrial conference, full preparation for trial shall have been made so that trial may begin immediately thereafter. The pretrial conference will include a discussion of settlement, and counsel shall be prepared through investigation, discovery and communication with clients and insurers, if any, to discuss fully the subject of settlement, including realistic expectations about liability, obstacles to agreement, offers made, and offers which can be made at the conference. Counsel shall be prepared to make additional offers or proposals for settlement in behalf of their clients at the pretrial conference, and counsel shall be prepared to make or opine on recommendations for further negotiations and conferences.

7. **Mediation and Settlement:**

- a. If the parties intend to mediate their dispute, **notice of the mediation** shall be given to the staff of the assigned magistrate judge's office. The filing of a mediation reference order will terminate pending motions, without prejudice to refiling. If the mediation is not successful, the moving party may reinstate such a motion by filing a written notice to that effect, and the other parties may respond in accordance with the local rules, regarding the date of the notice as reinstating the response/reply time that remained as of the date the mediation reference order was filed.
- b. Not later than **two weeks prior to trial**, plaintiff or plaintiff's counsel shall serve on defendant or defendant's counsel a written, updated settlement proposal. Defendant or defendant's counsel shall respond in writing to such proposal not later than one week before trial.
- c. **Notice of settlement** shall be given to the trial judge's office as soon as practicable but in any event in time to avoid summoning a jury. If a case settles and notice of settlement is not given in sufficient time to avoid summoning a jury, assessment of jury costs may and normally will be made against a party and/or counsel for one or more of the parties. For purposes of this paragraph, a jury is considered summoned for a trial at noon the business day prior to the designated date of trial.

³ All personal information should be redacted from the public version of the order and/or attachments filed with the Clerk. *See* NECivR 5.3.

- 8. **A one (1) day non-jury trial** is set to commence, at the Court's call, during the week of **December 18, 2018**, in **Omaha**, Nebraska, before the **Honorable Laurie Smith Camp**, Chief United States District Judge.
- 9. **Motions to Alter Dates.** All requests for changes of deadlines or settings established herein shall be directed to the assigned magistrate judge by appropriate motion, including all requests for changes of trial dates. Such motions shall not be considered in the absence of a showing by counsel of due diligence in the timely development of this case for trial and the recent development of circumstances, unanticipated prior to the filing of the motion, which require that additional time be allowed.

Dated this 19th day of March, 2018.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Michael D. Nelson United States Magistrate Judge